

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:		
IRS ID#: 0250984 DATE: <u>1/26/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:58 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:17 AM</u>		
FACILITY NAME: AMARALTO CONCRETE & PUMP INC		
ACILITY LOCATION: 400 NW 10 Ave		
HOMESTEAD 33030		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AMADO LLIZO PHONE:		
ONTACT NAME: PHONE:		
NTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/12/2008 / 6/11/2013		
(effective date) (end date)		
ART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
ART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? □Yes ☒ No 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No n - □Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check papropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ; 2) a relocatable ; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check Donly one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below</i>.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No □Yes □ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
<u>Unconfined Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)	I	
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:		
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, 	s, stock piles, and yards? \bigsymbol{\times} Yes \bigsymbol{\times} No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe dus	st-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to		
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitig	gate emissions at the drop point to the truck? \Big Yes \Big No	
<u> </u>		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S = Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4 F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	- Rule 02-210.500(+)(u)-19,1 1.110.	
130 110 11 01 1120 1120 1120 1120 1120 1	l l	
Since the last inspection has there been	l l	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment without	t replacement? \Boxed Yes \Boxed No	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially d	different than that noted on the most	
recent notification form?		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office? Tyes No		
FRANK DELGADO	1/26/2010	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	1/2011	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
(-		
COMMENTS: THE READY MIX PLANT WAS NOT OPER		
A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST (VE) WAS PERFORMED BY		

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.